Attorney Docket No. 24717-717

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of	) Group Art Unit: Not yet assigned
Michael A. Lloyd et. al.	) Examiner: Not yet assigned
Application No.: Not yet assigned	)
Filed: Herewith	)
Title: Method And Apparatus For The	)
Assessment And Optimization of Network	)
Traffic	)

## **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT**

Box Patent Application Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination on the merits, please amend the application as indicated below. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the below amendments and remarks. Please amend the above-identified application as follows:

## IN THE SPECIFICATION

Please amend the Specification as follows: Please insert at page 1, line 3, after the Title:

#### CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is the National Stage of PCT Application No. PCT/US01/32319, filed October 17, 2001; and is a continuation-in-part of PCT Application No. PCT/US01/32312, filed October 17, 2001; PCT Application No. PCT/US01/31259, filed October 5, 2001; PCT Application No. PCT/US01/31420, filed October 4, 2001; and PCT Application No. PCT/US01/31419, filed October 4, 2001; which are continuations-in-part of U.S. Application No. 09/960,623, filed September 20, 2001; U.S. Application No. 09/903,423, filed July 10, 2001; U.S. Application No. 09/903,421, filed July 10, 2001; which claim the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/275,206,

filed March 12, 2001; and U.S. Provisional Application No. 60/241,450, filed October 17, 2000. These applications are hereby incorporated by reference--.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 31, to page 2, line 2, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- The performance aspects of network paths to any given address will often have similar characteristics of the network paths to a nearby address. However, the task of identifying address blocks which are acceptably similar in performance characteristic is subtle: network address ranges are typically not directly representative of the underlying network topology.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 6, line 6, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- In some embodiments of this invention, the device can select flows on the basis of input other than performance, such as user input, or the cost of the link. That is, the user can specify the flows that need special treatment. Also, interface statistics can be obtained from network; using this input, quantities such as available bandwidth, cost of usage of the links, or link bandwidth can be computed. Those skilled in the art can identify other metrics based on which flow determination and treatment can be based. Flows can be diverted when a given threshold in such metrics (such as cost or available bandwidth) is detected.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 15, with the following rewritten paragraph:

--In some embodiments, some of all of the techniques above can be combined to yield a metric that determines whether a flow should receive special treatment.

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 8, line 13, with the following rewritten paragraph:

--In one embodiment of this invention, the precise placement of the Collector depends on whether it is implemented in the CP's DMZ, or next to the CP's border routers in a CoLo. In some embodiments, the Collector can include passive, fail-safe monitoring hardware, and it may be placed in the flow for all the outbound traffic (such as within a DMZ). In other embodiments, it may be required that all traffic that needs to be monitored will be diverted towards the Collector. (See below.)

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 15, line 15, with the following rewritten

paragraph:

-- Address Aggregation Policy: In some cases, scalability considerations of individual networking devices, and the system as a whole, result in desires to limit the number of address ranges to be managed. It order to keep the number of address ranges reasonable, defining "minimum set criteria" for address ranges is sometimes required. Examples of this case include "minimum set size of 255 addresses"; which is to say, addresses, and address ranges involving less than 255 addresses, will be aggregated into a single set. In some cases, Address Aggregation policy varies across the range of managed addresses. For convenience in identifying sets, Address Aggregation policy often is limited to ranges of contiguous addresses.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 15, line 15 with the following rewritten paragraph:

--Administrative Policy may also encompass user-definable criteria.--

Please replace the paragraph beginning at page 16, line 1, with the following rewritten paragraph:

--Pre-Existing Address Range definitions may be useful in the Group definition process: the use of such definitions, if available, may increase the efficiency of bootstrapping the system, and may also allow the system to integrated more effectively with internal or external routing or forwarding devices. In some cases, Pre-Existing Address Range Definitions may map directly (1:1) to Groups; in other cases, a Group may include more than one of the existing Address Definitions. In some examples of this case, a source of routing table information may be identified as the reference source of Group Definition information. In some embodiments of this invention, Group Definitions are kept synchronized with a dynamic Reference Routing Table.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 17, line 30, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- In some embodiments of this invention, it may be desirable to enforce a direct 1:1 mapping between Group Definition and a Reference Routing Table.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 18, line 11, with following rewritten paragraph:

--In some embodiments of this invention, it may be desirable to allow Groups to refer to address ranges which were not present in the Reference Routing Table. In some cases, this may involve address ranges within Group definitions which are broader than those currently present in

the reference routing table.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 24, line 4, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- One other item regarding the metrics deals with their ordering. In some embodiments, Jitter and Delay can be merged.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 25, line 6, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- In one such implementation, each PoP will be equipped with an implementation of BGP. These BGP sessions should communicate with each other; in some implementations, all PoPs can peer in a full mesh of IBGP peerings. In other implementations where the number of PoPs is large so that a full mesh becomes impractical, BGP Route Reflection can be implemented. In such an infrastructure, each PoP can advertise routes to all EC's that it has monitored, giving an address within that PoP as the next hop. Most attributes in that BGP message can be left at default values; in some implementations, only the 32-bit.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 27, line 28 to page 28, line 4, with the following rewritten paragraph:

--In some embodiments of this invention, the performance-based, application-specific metric derived above could be modified using other variables such as overall network usage, individual network link usage (absolute or relative) or link usage cost. For example, interface stats of network devices (e.g., netflow on routers) could be used to determine current load on all available links, from which an estimate of the cost can be computed. The performance-based metric can then be penalized to take into account cost factors. In some embodiments of this invention, these cost parameters can be manually entered/tuned by the user. In particular, in some embodiments, the user can explicitly penalize or favor given routes.

Please replace the paragraph at page 45, line 28 to page 46, to line 2, with the following rewritten paragraph:

-- In some embodiments of the invention, the internetwork is implemented by a plurality of one or more subnetworks, including a plurality of one or more VPNs, a plurality of one or more BGP autonomous systems, a plurality of one or more local area networks, a plurality of one or metropolitan area networks, and a plurality of one or more wide area networks.--

Please replace the paragraph at page 46, line 15, with the following rewritten paragraph:

--In some embodiments of the invention, the measurement packet sizes and times between measurement packets simulate the traffic pattern of a plurality of one or more applications.

# In the Claims:

Please amend claim 93 as follows:

93. (Amended) The method of claim 80, wherein the selecting, the creating, and the classifying are performed at a plurality of one or more distributed servers distributed through a plurality of one or more autonomous systems.

#### **REMARKS**

In light of the amendments set forth above, Applicants earnestly believe that they are entitled to a letters patent, and respectfully solicit the Examiner to expedite prosecution of this patent application to issuance. Should the Examiner have any questions, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification by the current Preliminary Amendment. The attached page captioned "<u>Version with markings to show</u> changes made."

Respectfully submitted,

Date: February 27, 2002

Kenta Suzae

By:

Registration No. 45,145

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1505 (650) 493-9300 Client No. 021971

#### VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

## In the Specification:

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 1, line 31, to page 2, line 2, as follows:

The performance aspects of network paths to any given address will often have similar characteristics of the network paths to a nearby address. However, the task of identifying address blocks which are acceptably similar in performance characteristic is subtle:\_network address ranges are typically not directly representative of the underlying network topology.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 6, as follows:

In some embodiments of this invention, the device can select flows on the basis of input other than performance, such as user input, or the cost of the link. That is, the user can specify the flows that need special [treatement] treatment. Also, interface statistics can be obtained from network; using this input, quantities such as available bandwidth, cost of [usasge] usage of the links, or link bandwidth can be computed. Those skilled in the art can identify other metrics based on which flow determination and [treatement] treatment can be based. Flows can be diverted when a given threshold in such metrics (such as cost or available bandwidth) is detected.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 7, line 15, as follows:

In some embodiments, some of all of the techniques above can be combined to yield a metric that determines whether a flow should receive special [treatment] treatment.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 8, line 13, as follows:

In one embodiment of this invention, the precise placement of the Collector depends on whether it is implemented in the CP's DMZ, or next to the CP's border routers in a CoLo. In some embodiments, the Collector can include passive, fail-safe monitoring hardware, and it may be placed in the flow for all the outbound traffic (such as within a DMZ). In other embodiments, it may be required that all traffic that needs to be monitored will be diverted towards the Collector. (See below.)

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 15, line 15, as follows:

-- Address Aggregation Policy: In some cases, scalability [consderations] considerations of individual networking devices, and the system as a whole, result in desires to limit the number of address ranges to be managed. It order to keep the number of address ranges reasonable, [definiting] defining "minimum set criteria" for address ranges is sometimes required. Examples of this case include "minimum set size of 255 addresses"; which is to say, addresses, and address ranges involving less than 255 addresses, will be aggregated into a single set. In some cases, Address Aggregation policy varies across the range of managed addresses. For convenience in identifying sets, Address Aggregation policy often is limited to ranges of contiguous addresses.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 15, line 27, as follows:

[Administritative] Administrative Policy may also encompass user-definable criteria.

Please amend the paragraph beginning at page 16, line 1, as follows:

Pre-Existing Address Range definitions may be [usefull] <u>useful</u> in the Group definition process: the use of such definitions, if available, may increase the efficiency of bootstrapping the system, and may also allow the system to integrated more effectively with internal or external routing or forwarding devices. In some cases, Pre-Existing Address Range Definitions may map directly (1:1) to Groups; in other cases, a Group may include more than one of the existing Address Definitions. In some examples of this case, a source of routing table information may be identified as the reference source of Group Definition information. In some [emodiments] <u>embodiments</u> of this invention, Group Definitions are kept synchronized with a dynamic Reference Routing Table.

Please amend the paragraph at page 17, line 30, as follows:

In some embodiments of this invention, it may be desirable to [enfore] <u>enforce</u> a direct 1:1 mapping between Group Definition and a Reference Routing Table.

Please amend the paragraph at page 18, line 11, as follows:

In some embodiments of this invention, it may be desirable to allow Groups to refer to address ranges which were [are] not present in the Reference Routing Table. In some cases, this may involve address ranges within Group definitions which are broader than those [currenly] currently present in the reference routing table.

Please amend the paragraph at page 24, line 4, as follows:

One other item regarding the metrics deals with their ordering. In some embodiments, Jitter and Delay can be merged. [Many implementations exist, the details of which can be found in [CORE-STATS]. (\*\*\* HERE \*\*\*)]

Please amend the paragraph at page 25, line 6, as follows:

In one such implementation, each PoP will be equipped with an implementation of BGP. These BGP sessions should communicate with each other; in some implementations, all PoPs can peer in a full mesh of IBGP peerings. In other implementations where the number of PoPs is large so that a full mesh becomes impractical, BGP Route Reflection can be implemented. In such an infrastructure, each PoP can advertise routes to all EC's that it has monitored, giving an address within that PoP as the next hop. Most attributes in that BGP message can be left at default values; in some implementations, only the 32-bit.

Please amend the paragraph at page 27, line 28 to page 28, line 4 as follows:

In some embodiments of this invention, the performance-based, application-specific metric derived above could be modified using other variables such as overall network usage, individual network link usage (absolute or relative) or link usage cost. For example, interface stats of network devices (e.g., netflow on routers) could be used to determine current load on all available links, from which an estimate of the cost can be computed. The performance-based metric can then be penalized to take into account cost factors. In some embodiments of this invention, these cost parameters can be manually entered/tuned by the user. In particular, in some embodiments, the user can [explicitly] explicitly penalize or favor given routes.

Please amend the paragraph at page 45, line 28 to page 46, to line 2, as follows:

In some embodiments of the invention, the internetwork is implemented by a plurality of one or more subnetworks, including a plurality of one or more VPNs, a plurality of one or more BGP autonomous systems, a plurality of one or more local area networks, a plurality of one or metropolitan area networks, and a plurality of one or [morewide] more wide area networks.

Please amend the paragraph at page 46, line 15, as follows:

In some embodiments of the invention, the measurement packet sizes and times between measurement packets simulate the traffic pattern of a plurality of one or more applications.

# In the Claims:

Please amend claim 93 as follows:

93. (Amended) The method of claim 80, wherein the selecting, the creating, and the classifying [are] are performed at a plurality of one or more distributed servers distributed through a plurality of one or more autonomous systems.